				USDS SDNY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK In re:				DOCUMENT
				ELECTRONICALLY FILED
				DOC #:
				DATE FILED: 12/4/12
LEHMAN BROTHERS SECURITIES AND ERISA LITIGATION				
This document	applies to:	All Cases		09 MD 2017 (LAK)
PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 44 (Pending Motions to Dismiss; Status Conference)				
LEWIS A. KAPLAN, District Judge.				
The Court has considered the responses to Pretrial Order No. 38 and rules as follows:				
1. The Court sees no reason to revisit the rulings made in <i>In re Lehman Bros. Secur. and ERISA Litig.</i> , 799 F. Supp.2d 258 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (the " <i>Class Action</i> "), or in <i>In re Lehman Bros. Secur. and ERISA Litig.</i> ,F. Supp.2d, No. 09 MD 2017 (LAK), 2012 WL 4866504 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 15, 2012) (the " <i>California Cases</i> "), as to the sufficiency of allegations of misstatements, omissions and, where applicable, <i>scienter</i> or those bearing on the principles of law governing the timeliness of claims brought under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Counsel should expect that these holdings will be incorporated in rulings on the various pending motions, many of which also involve other issues.				
year and on su and, if appropries respective sep	a proposed so uch other mattoriate, trial. arate proposal	cheduling order that will ers as may facilitate the The parties shall submits to the Court by Januar more than one on behalf	require conclusion preparation of these at any joint proposa ary 15, 2013. In the of plaintiffs and o	of all discovery in the coming e cases for dispositive motions of all or, failing agreement, their e event separate proposals are ne on behalf of defendants, in the proposals shall not exceed
at 10 a.m.	3. The	Court will conduct a stat	tus and scheduling co	onference on January 17, 2013
	SO ORDER	ED.		
Dated:	December 4	, 2012	W.	

Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge